

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/650,103	08/29/2000	FRANCIS A. ABRAMOVICH	BUR9-1999-0267-US1	9020
29505	7590 11/04/2003		EXAMINER	
DELIO & PETERSON, LLC 121 WHITNEY AVENUE			EL ARINI, ZEINAB	
NEW HAVEN, CT 06510		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1746	, ;
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003	\2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/650,103	ABRAMOVICH ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
4		Zeinab E. EL-Arini	1746
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Idensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the reply specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	September 2003 .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) The section is FINAL .	nis action is non-final.	
3)□ Dispositio	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims		
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 20-28</u> is/are pending in the	application.	
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-18, 20-28</u> is/are rejected.		
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application			
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)∏ acce	pted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ o	disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.	
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•	
13) 🗌 📝	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:		
	. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
2	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	application No
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
14) 🗌 Ad	knowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	* -	
Attachment(s)		
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
J.S. Patent and Trac PTOL-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 15

09/650,103 Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment and remarks filed on 09/02/03 have been acknowledged and entered.

Claims 1-18, and 20-28 are pending.

The objections to the abstract and the title have been withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment.

The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph stated in paper No. 13 has been withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 8-9, 11-18, and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berbel or Matthews.

Claims 7, 10, 20, and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berbel or Matthews as applied to claims 1-6, 8-9, 11-18, and 21-24 above, and further in view of Li and Squires et al.

These rejections stated in paper No. 13 are maintained.

Application/Control Number:

09/650,103

Art Unit: 1746

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 09/02/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that there is no disclosure in Berbel to remove contaminants on the surface using two fluids, applicants also argue that Matthews reference does not teach removing contaminants particles from the surface. Applicants' arguments are unpersuasive because removing organic material from semiconductor wafers, and drying objects by removing liquid particles from a surface are functionally equivalent to removing particles from a surface of a substrate. This is also because removing liquid from a surface will include removing any residues with the liquid from said surface.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number:

09/650,103

Art Unit: 1746

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Zeinab EL-Arini whose telephone number is (703)308-

3320. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (703)308-4333. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are

(703)872-9310 for regular communications and (703)872-9311 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-

0661.

ZEE

November 2, 2003

Zeinal Elarini

ZEINAB EL-ARINI PRIMARY EXAMINER